on board, at thought by Messieurs Samuel and Edward Dorsey, who had also Goods on board.

EBENEZER MACKIE."

February 9, 1770.

The Committee thought it their Duty to acquain the Gentlemen, by Letter, that they did not think verbal Messages would satisfy the Rubbie That if they intended as they said, to send the Vessel back with the Goods, and would be pleased to signify the same, by Letter, it would be highly agreeable to the blic said the Committee would do them the Justice to publish it with the Account they were preparing for the Press. To this they received the following Answer:

Gentlemen Jan Jan Annapolis, February 10, 1770.

ration of our Intention of complying with the Request of the Committee; and if you choose to take the Trouble of making further Enquiry, we must refer you to the Collector, and to Captain Errington, for the Steps we took, in Consequence of the Opinion you have been pleased to signify. As to our Thoughts of the Propriety of that Opinion and Resolution, we refer you to our Letter delivered to the Committee. We, you well know, are not the only Persons interested in the Cargoes imported in Captain Errington. Mr. Griffith has Goods on board the same Vessel, some of which he is not, according to your Opinion to receive; and others he is to receive. There are other Persons-also who have imported Goods in the same Vessel, upon whose Case there has not yet been any Determination.

Griffith's Goods be delivered to him swithout an Entry? If all the Goods should be entered, will see have it in our Power to find back to England the Goods configured to us only. Be pleased to favour us with your Opinion and Advice on this Head, and we shall be able more maturely to confider it, sit given in Writing, in that Way, therefore we shall be glad to receive it. And are,

GENTLEMEN, your humble Servants.

J'AMES DICK & STEWART,

JUDSON: COOLIDGE,

WILLIAM: M'GACHIN,

ARCHIBALD BUCHANAN.

and offered to the Committee, on Thursday Evening, for their Consideration; which Letter was refused to be received, because (as it was alledged) the Committee was distincted to be referred to may be seen in Page 23.)

17/0

William Lyon."